

Water availability in the Mediterranean countries

Group	Criteria	Countries	
First Group	Water availability will remain adequate up	France, Italy, Croatia, Serbia, Slovenia	
	to 2025 and beyond,	Albania, Turkey Lebanon	
Second group	Water resources are barely adequate at present	Spain, Morocco, Algeria and Cyprus.	
Third group	Current water availability is already limited or negligible and will not meet future demand	Malta, Egypt, Syria, Libya, Israel, Jordan, Tunisia and Palestinian	

Irrigated land (growth and share in agriculture)



## Percentage of increase of the irrigated land in selected Mediterranean countries



#### A food security indicator: number of persons per irrigated hectare















## Contributions of irrigation and trade to alleviate poverty.

#### Irrigation

- Small farms in the Mediterranean irrigated agriculture has provided the opportunity to millions of farmer to earn revenues that place them beyond the poverty lines.
- in Egypt the average size of farms is 1,7 ha and the revenue generated is over 300 US\$. In Spain more than 55% of the irrigated farms in the East Coast have less than 3 ha.
- Large farms also provide employment opportunities that contribute to reduce poverty.
- Rural development programs are the new wave to improve life in the rural sectors but their progress in developing countries is slow.

# Contributions of irrigation and trade to alleviate poverty.

- Food trade is playing a more important role in the economies and in the agriculture sector. However, is not at all clear that the poor sections of the population are benefiting from it.
- For many, the trade restrictions are increasing poverty and hunger and the need for reforming trade rules are strongly felt.
- The role of food trade in alleviating poverty can be highly relevant when food imports are used to alleviate the hunger of the poorest people through the food emergency programmes and small farmer have a better access to markets.
- However, food aid must ensure that the aid provided does not create dependency or harm the communities and stakeholders it hopes to assist.



#### Overview of agriculture and trade (1)

GDP per capita (1990, US \$)		GD	P)	Agriculture value added per worker (2000.\$)			
1990	2004*	% increase	1990	2004	1990-92	2002-04	
1.185	1.600	35,0	19	15	1.575	2.007	
1.057	1.150	8,8	18	16	1.275	1.582	
1.129	1.650	46,1	28	23	2.356	2.977	
1.485	2.300	54,9	16	13	2.365	2.415	
2.563	3.100	21,0	18	13	1.772	1.793	
21.321	25.000	17,3	4	3	24.724	40.521	
8.360	9.950	19,0	11	7	8.315	9.303	
19.401	22.450	15,7	4	3	13.672	21.553	
12.928	17.750	37,3	7	4	12.611	19.132	
ated for the	year 2004						
sa/populatio	n/publicatio	ons/countryp	orofile/				
	1990 1.185 1.057 1.485 2.563 21.321 8.360 19.401 12.928 ated for the sa/populatic	1990     2004*       1.185     1.600       1.057     1.150       1.057     1.150       1.455     2.300       2.563     3.100       2.321     25.000       8.360     9.950       19.401     22.450       ated for the year 2004       sa/population/publication	1990     2004*     % increase       1.185     1.600     35,0       1.057     1.150     8,8       1.129     1.650     46,1       1.485     2.300     54,9       2.563     3.100     21,0       21.321     25.000     17,3       8.360     9.50     19,0       19.401     22.450     15,7       12.928     17.750     37,3       ated for the year 2004     ated for the year 2004	1990     2004*     %     1990       increase     1990     increase     1990       1.185     1.600     35.0     19       1.057     1.150     8.8     18       1.485     2.300     5.4.9     16       2.663     3.100     21.0     18       21.321     25.000     17.3     4       8.360     9.950     19.0     11       19.401     22.450     15.7     4       12.928     17.750     37.3     7       ated for the year 2004     34     54     54	1990     2004*     %     1990     2004       increase     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1	1990     2004*     %     1990     2004     1990-92       increase     increase     1990     2004     1990-92       1.185     1.600     35,0     19     15     1.575       1.057     1.150     8.8     18     16     1.275       1.185     1.600     46,1     28     2.3     2.366       1.485     2.300     64,9     16     13     2.365       2.563     3.100     21,0     18     13     1.772       21.321     25.000     17,3     4     3     24.724       8.360     9.950     19,0     11     7     8.315       19.401     22.450     15,7     4     3     13.672       12.928     17.750     37,3     7     4     12.611       ated for the year 2004     sa/population/publications/countryprofile/     sa/population/publications/countryprofile/     5	



#### Overview of agriculture and trade (2)



### Overview of agriculture and trade (3)



#### Fundación OBSERVATORIO DEL AO Marcelino Botín WATER OBSERVATORY

Section IV: Analyzing agricultural trade and virtual water trade data to reorient national crop production. A methodological outline.



Source: FAO

### The essential tables

The analysis is based on the following tables:

- 1. Historical (15- 20 years) production and value data of main crops
- 2. Water use of main crops (irrigated and rainfed) Latest available info.
- 3. Estimation of the irrigation water use per ton of crop
- 4. Historical agricultural trade (15-20 years). Import, exports, net trade
- 5. Virtual blue water trade of main crops

Most of this information is available on Internet from FAO and other sources.

### Example (Egypt 1)

. Agricu	ltural	production
----------	--------	------------

Main Crops				1990						2	004				Increase	decrea	nse (%)
	Surface	%	Production	%	Price	Value (million	%	Surface	%	Production	%	Price	Value	%	Surface	Produ	Value
													(millio			ction	(million)
													n			(1000	(minior
	(ha)		(tonnes)		(US\$iton)	US\$)		(ha)		(tonnes)		(US\$iton)	US\$)		(ha)	ton)	US\$)
Cotton	417247	13	838000	3	91	76	2	300307	8	785162	2	93	73	1	-28	-6	÷.
Sugar cane	110584	3	8895262	34	18	164	4	135309	3	11230438	27	18	205	4	22	26	24
Rice	435908	13	3167421	12	127	404	9	645670	17	6352370	15	186	1183	20	48	101	193
Alheat	821301	25	4268049	16	159	678	16	1094741	28	7177855	17	132	945	16	33	68	39
Maize	830174	26	4798635	18	141	674	16	788520	20	6236140	15	121	754	13	-5	30	13
Other crops	616296	19	4101318	16	n.a.	2281	53	902663	23	9314495	23	n.a.	2619	45	46	127	- 15
Total	3231510	100	26068685	100		4277	100	3867210	100	41096460	100		5778	100	20	58	35

Source: FAOSTAT, 2009 and own elaboration

### Example (Egypt 2)

Main Crop	ъ п	igation wate	(2004)				
	Irrigation	M3(million)	M3(million)	Increase	Distribution	Total	
				or		irrigatio	n
				decrease		water	US
	req.(M3/ha)	1990	2004	(%)	effciency	(2004)	
Cotton	9000	3755	2703	-28	0,70		3861
Sugar can	e 10000	1106	1353	22	0,70		193
Rice	11000	4795	7102	48	0,70		1014
Wheat	4000	3285	4379	33	0,70		625
Maize	8000	6641	6308	-5	0,70		9013
Other crop	is 6000	3698	5416	46	0,70		773
Total							3894
Total per in	nhabitant						505

### Example (Egypt 3)

#### 3. Irrigation water per ton of crop production

Irrigation water per ton						
Main Crop	Yield	M3 of				
		total				
		irrigation				
		water				
	(tn/ha)	use /ton				
Cotton Seed	2,6	4926,11				
Sugar cane	83,0	172,12				
Rice	9,8	1597,24				
Wheat	6,6	871,523				
Maize	7,9	1445,07				
Cotton Lint	0,9	14778,3				

### Example (Egypt 4)

#### 4. Net trade (imports- exports)

	N	et trade (Im	port -expor	ts)			
Main crons	19	90	2004				
indir crops	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value			
	(tonnes)	(millions \$)	(tonnes)	(millions \$)			
Cotton	20562	-66,092	-101549	-389,663			
Maize	1899937	248,935	2427724	364,447			
Rice	-63924	-15,498	-804730	-222,974			
Sugarcane	-548	-0,065	-4227	-0,689			
Wheat	5400000	853	4366462	727,57			
Total Merchandise Trade	No data	6617	No data	7522,7			

#### Source: FAOSTAT, 2009

### Example (Egypt 5)

#### 5. Virtual blue water traded for the selected crops

	ater traded	
Main crops	m3/ton	Year 2004
		m3 (million)
Cotton lint	14778	-1500,72
Maize	993	2411,91
Rice	1597	-1285,34
Sugarcane	172	-0,73
Wheat	872	3805,47
Net Total		3430,58
M3 Per inhabitan	nt	44,46

### Example (Egypt 6)

#### Conclusions about rice

- 1. A highly profitable crop for farmers and the country
- 2. The second important export crop for the country.
- 3. Largest user of water in the country (26%)
- 4. Egypt is an exporter of virtual water through this crop. This appears contradictory for a country of limited water resources.
- 5. For every hectare of rice exported nearly 3 hectares of imported wheat can be planted.
- Reducing the area of rice and substitute if for other crops present ecological problems (soil suitability and compatibility with present low water quality

### Caution !

- The result of the analysis indicates broad directions to reorient production but many other factors (farmers' income, labor, environmental effects, soil and water suitability, etc..) affect the possible decisions.
- Any possible change of the existing cropping patterns requires further detailed analysis.
  Part of that analysis will be presented in the second part of this presentation.

