











Contents Syria: Main features of the irrigation sector \rightarrow Importance of ground water resources: · From 1,213,000 ha irrigated The case of Syria: - 40% by public networks (484,000 ha) - 60% by private wells (716,000 ha) \rightarrow 37% of all water resources → Difficult control of ground water resources Looking for the rationale: Is trade ameliorating or exacerbating water stress? · Irrigated area by wells is not evenly distributed, 44% located in the Al Hassake region (NE)one of the most critical over-exploited areas Total number of wells is 200,000 of which 1/4 were not licensed in 2000. This motivated the enacting of a special decree → Low technological development Gravity irrigation covers 90% of the irrigated area • Sprinkler irrigation covers 8.8 % (112,000 ha) Drip irrigation covers 1.2 % (15,000 ha) → High water consumption rates Average consumption is 12,400 m3 / ha ٠ 7 can reach 16,700 m3/ha in the Euphrates basin









	AREA (ha)	Percentage of Irrigated area (%)	On farm Irrig. Water (m3 <i>I</i> ha)	Irrigation distribution efficiency	Total Irrigation water use (million m3)	
WHEAT	689868	57	4000	0,7	3942	
MAIZE	72627	6	5000	0,7	519	
COTTON	274585	23	11000	0,8	3776	
SUGAR BEET	28667	2	6000	0,7	246	
ΡΟΤΑΤΟ	21668	2	5500	0,7	170	
TOMATO	9743	1	9300	0,7	129	
OTHERS	109715	10	6000	0,7	940	
TOTAL	1206873	100			9722	
Total per inhabitant					508	

		Net trade (Im	mport -exports)			
	Main Crops	1990		2004		
		Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	
		(tonnes)	(million US \$)	(tonnes)	(million US \$)	
Γ	Barley	96089	17,5	426326	42,5	
1	Cotton	-66193	-152,9	-113601	-164,0	
I	Maize	249332	60,1	854841	118,6	
	Sugar beet	0	0,0	-1	0,0	
ľ	Wheat	934844	148,2	-558471	-105,3	
ľ	Total Merchandise Trade	No data	-1812,6	No data	880,4	

	Blue virtu	rtual water traded	
Crop	Irrigation	water	Year 2004
	(m3/ton)		m3 (million)
Barley	n.a		na.
Cotton lint		9167	-1041,34
Maize		1587	1356,63
Sugar beet		143	0,00
Wheat		952	-531.88
Net total blue water	traded		-216,59
Per inhabitant (m3)			-11,33

	Contents					
3.	Down-scaling to the national perspective: a proposed guideline for assessing water conservation policies					
	1	16				









































Some reflections...

- Method \rightarrow Macro analysis complemented at micro level
- Difficulties of data, information...field work requirements → consider technical, social and institutional factors
- Balanced trade-off between conserving water resources and maintain social and economic viability → efficiency and equity considerations
- Spatial and temporal dimensions \rightarrow water is site-specific and context specific
- Need to downscale \rightarrow impacts of policy decisions vary across regions and local communities
- Difficult for public authorities → policy choice, revealed objectives? priorities? Social cost of policy? Conserving water resources via trade policy or via technological solutions? 37
- The role of water management and water institutions?

